

December 8, 2016

Regular Meeting of the Township Committee of the Township of Hanover, County of Morris and State of New Jersey was held on Thursday, December 8, 2016, at 8:30 o'clock in the evening, prevailing time, at the Municipal Building, 1000 Route 10, in said Township.

PRESENT: Mayor Ronald Francioli, Deputy Mayor Ferramosca, Members Coppola, Brueno and Gallagher

ABSENT:

-----

-----  
**STATEMENT BY PRESIDING OFFICER:**

Adequate notice of this meeting has been provided in accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act by posting written notices and agenda of the meeting on the bulletin board in the Municipal Building, 1000 Route 10, Township of Hanover and by hand delivering, mailing or faxing such notice and agenda to the following newspapers:

**HANOVER EAGLE  
MORRIS COUNTY'S DAILY RECORD  
THE STAR LEDGER**

and by filing same with the Township Clerk.

(Signed) Ronald F. Francioli, Mayor

-----  
**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG**

**Mayor: Ladies and Gentleman we would like to recognize 75 years ago 1941 a day that certainly "Live in Infamy" a quote from President Roosevelt we lost 2400 men and woman at Pearl Harbor we remember them this night, so please give me a moment of silence for them ~ thank you.**

-----  
**OATH OF OFFICE**

**ADMINISTRATION OF OATH OF OFFICE TO NEW REPLACEMENT PATROLMAN JOSHUA STEPHEN WILLIAMS**

-----  
**OPEN TO THE PUBLIC**

Motion to open to the public made by John Ferramosca and seconded by Member Thomas Gallagher and unanimously passed.

Motion to close made by Member Brueno and seconded by Member Gallagher and unanimously passed.

-----  
**PRESENTATION:**

**PRESENTATION BY TILSON TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT, INC.  
REPRESENTING VERIZON WIRELESS CONCERNING VERIZON'S PROPOSAL TO UPGRADE AND ENHANCE ITS COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK  
[Steve Weiss, Verizon; Sue Schnaars, Engineer; Maureen Hopkins, Tilson]**

Steve Weiss, Verizon Wireless Project Manager for outdoor Gas Program in Northern New Jersey. To my right is Sue Schnaars, she is our RF Engineer and was involved in designing the project that we will be talking about tonight. To my left is Maureen Hopkins, she is with Tilson, they are the communication partner with Verizon Wireless on this project.

So, in front of you is our Power Point presentation and, I will try to give you the abbreviated view of this? This is basically for your information, take it home and look at it and after we talk tonight if any questions we certainly can answer. I kind of thought this would be more of informative kind of interactive discussion so at any point if you have any questions or comments feel free to ask.

December 8, 2016

Mayor: Before you begin, if I can ask on behalf of the Committee, is this a project that you are going ahead on or is this a project that requires Township approval, or is it a project that needs some sort of authority?

Mr. Weiss: This will require Township Committee or Municipal consent. I think it's a good idea to start with the perimeter of the infrastructure so that we are all on the same page, so I will start with I think most of you know what macros are, but we will go through that, a macro is your typical (inaudible), which is tree poles some people have (inaudible) to tree poles they are not particularly, some people like them and some people don't but they are essentially structures that will accommodate a large area. So those are your fairly typical macro sites. Now we have small cells, small cells will cover, well we usually put those on buildings that are smaller in stature, usually between 35-75 feet and then we have our outdoor gas so, I want you to try to envision, the best way to lay this out is for you to imagine that you have a glass vase, it's empty and you have large glass marbles and you put them into your vase, those are your macros, they cover the large area, so when you do that you are still going to have gaps in that vase. Now, you are going to put small cells there, small cells are strong glass marbles they are going to fill in some of those gaps in your coverage. So, now you still have spaces and you still have gaps, so let's say now you put in water, eventually gaps are going to be filled and will overflow, when that happens you have a capacity problem, so there is coverage where you don't have any coverage at all wirelessly, then you have (inaudible) where you have some levels of coverage but because of the use and because of the overuse and this is a more greater use of wireless devices you are getting to the point where the band is just not enough pact, so you are having capacity problems. Now you have the capacity problems you got to somehow deal with that. So, now imagine you put in sand to absorb some of that water and now it's overflowing over the top of the vase the sands are your nodes. The nodes are going to be smaller, they are going to be closer to the use where the exhaust is occurring and that is our solution and that is the solution that is being presented here tonight. There are two nodes that are being presented here tonight. To handle certain solutions which Sue is going to talk a little bit about and go right to the back part of the presentation and we can talk about the problems that these two nodes are meant to address. So, now let's talk about how we go about this process; first, what we try to do initially to use existing infrastructures, so I think you would all agree that generally people like to see new structures in our community, I think that is probably true for all types of structures that are in use; so our first attempt to try to use existing infrastructures is to use the existing pole which would be PSE&G JCP&L; this carrier franchise JCP&L? Ok. We have Verizon Wireless has pole agreements with PSE&G with JCP&L and Verizon, it is important to point out that we have one with Verizon as well because to make sure that we understand the difference, Verizon is governed by the Board of Public Utilities; Verizon Wireless has that even though I know what everybody thinks of us as one group, we are not, we are governed, Verizon Wireless is governed under the SEC and Verizon is governed by the Board of Public Utilities. So Verizon Wireless is treated no differently than T-Mobile, AT&T and Sprint or anybody else, so we are looking for structures that we want to attach to, we have to get consents from the Municipalities to attach our equipment to structures found committed to operate. When we can't find a structure that satisfies that requirement and we need to have a full new structure set in the right away we have to apply to Verizon just like T-Mobile would so same thing applies when a customer is requesting part fiber whether it be fiber to a home and the poles to bring the infrastructure to that home that customer has to apply, the builder had to apply and Verizon will come and set the poles. We have to do the exact same thing as Verizon Wireless. Those are the first structures we looked at, the existing structures in the right away, now JCP&L we won't talk about PS&G because it is not relevant here, but JCP&L they both have criteria, so we basically say, you can attach to this pole if this, and, or if this, and, or if this, so there is a whole list of things and in many cases we can't use that existing pole because of all of those conditions that they put on us and frankly the same as being with anybody else. So, sometimes we can't use the JCP&L Poles, now JCP&L have on top of these similar criteria that they have with PSE&G, they have one additional by owners criteria, which is that they will not allow to attach our equipment directly to their structure. So, if you look on page 4 of this, you will see a typical Verizon pole and you will see that box that's the equipment. The equipment is located within that box, and, if that were a JCP&L structure we would not be allowed, we would have to take the equipment and we would have to mount the equipment in the right-a-way on the ground minimum of 8 feet away from the pole, so that is an additional restriction that we have even if we do find a JCP&L pole that otherwise are allowed to use. The next step down is we can't find a JCP&L pole that we can use, we would then be applying to

December 8, 2016

Verizon to set a new pole in the right-a-way, so in those situations what Verizon is required to do is you already have what they call a Franchise Agreement, so JCP&L, Verizon they have an existing franchise agreement with the Municipality of Hanover to operate in the right-a-way and probably in most of the cases its by notification, so if they are setting a pole or doing work on a pole or whatever it is that they are doing they probably have, in most cases, refining the Franchise Agreement indicates that they have to notify the municipality sometimes its 10 days ahead, sometimes it's 30 days ahead it depends, but that is their requirement in order to do work in the right-a-way or presenting a new project or structure in the right-a-way.

But, we are coming here tonight to ask for the Township's permission to attach our equipment to the box equipment box. We are looking for permission to attach our box to the existing pole, or if necessary, if we can't find an existing pole that works, we would like to use a new pole that would be set in the right-of-way,

Maureen Hopkins: What Verizon Wireless is requesting from the Committee is similar to what Verizon Tilson has with their Franchise Agreement, it is just permission to operate in the right-of-way. In order for them to attach to any pole anywhere they have to have consent from the right-of-way owner. What Verizon Wireless is asking from the Committee is that permission to occupy your right-of-way. It is onsite specific, this is just the first step, and, it is basically what will give Verizon Wireless permission to then apply for site specific approvals. They can't apply for the same specific approvals through the Building Department until the Township Committee says you have the right to occupy the right-of-way. Verizon, JCP&L they already have permission to be there. Verizon Wireless can't be there until they have your permission. So, we did bring in two specific sites tonight that are of interest, but we are really just asking for simply we wish to be in the right-of-way and then individual sites will be permitted individually.

Mayor: Again, not having an opportunity to go through all of this just glancing through it, I'm sure they have questions, but let me start out with some of mine. In the interest of time, what is to prevent any other competitive cellular company from wanting the same options or using the same type, or do you start to comingle on these poles.

Ms. Hopkins: It is a possibility that the poles can be used by multiple parties and everyone would have to follow the same process. So, if AT&T wanted to do the same thing they would have to come before the Council and they would have to have permission to operate in the right-of-way. And, then they would have to get site specific approval.

Mayor: It's similar to what they are doing with cell towers. And, we understand that, and we have cell towers in upwards of 5 and 6 users that comingle, so conceivably on a JCP&L I can have up to 4 boxes?

Mr. Weiss: No, you are not going to be able to fit that many. We have been told to count on, when we are out there having these discussion, we are told to say at this point we are looking at 2 carriers per pole and it might be even more restrictive on the JCP&L than the PSE&G poles because of the existing equipment that is already on them and they limit us things like primary power, so there are certain things that the pole has certain criteria that might allow one carrier on them, might allow two carriers on them, or might allow only one on them.

Ms. Hopkins: Structurally the pole owners are not going to allow more than two carriers on the same pole.

Mayor: So to my question, the co-mingling would only go to the extent of perhaps two?

Ms. Hopkins: Correct.

Mayor: And, that is regulated.

Ms. Hopkins: It's regulated by the utilities company standards.

Mr. Brueno: I just want to jump in here regarding page 14, it looks like you are proposing a new pole at the Regional High School property, is that accurate?

December 8, 2016

Ms. Hopkins: It's not on the property, it's on the right-of-way, but yes.

Mr. Brueno: On page 14, it's showing High School property, which we don't have final domain on over anyway. Have you spoken to them? I'm just guessing, I'm just curious.

Mr. Weiss: Again, this is the right-of-way, so the right-of-way even though it obviously looks, if you look at your map your engineering maps they will show this as a right-a-way, so there is one node that is being proposed on an existing structure to use it in line with the criteria that we have laid out in the pole tax agreements and the other node we looked in the area, and, we could not determine another existing pole that does allow us to locate on it so the second node being proposed in the right-a-way.

Mr. Brueno: I'm just curious as to why you would put poles so close together. We are not a huge Town. They are relatively big right, so one in front of the High School and another 200 yards away, why so close?

Mr. Gallagher: This is new technology?

Mr. Weiss: This is new infrastructure, so the technology that is being deployed, I want to differentiate the technology that is being deployed because the technology is very powerful but I'm not an engineer so I'm not going to speak to the specific technology, maybe Sue can talk a little bit about it, but it's the infrastructure that we are employing you are using to employ the technology.

Mr. Gallagher: Depending on the technology and I don't even know enough about this to ask a good question other than my concern that it is on either side of our High School.

Mr. Brueno: Why so close together?

Sue Weiss, RF Engineer for Morris County: (INAUDIBLE) ..... A lot of these buildings are older. (INAUDIBLE) ..... it takes that capacity off of them and it allows them to perform better ~ it's kind of a two-fold purpose for these particular nodes they are not meant to serve miles and miles like macro sites are used to serve it's strictly site specific and we find that in a lot of our existing structures right now (INAUDIBLE) we look to take them off the grid ,serve that particular location with at least two strategically placed nodes and allows the existing structures (INAUDIBLE)

Mr. Brueno: It's not like they work in conjunction of each other you have to have two in this area to be efficient.

Ms. Weiss: Yes, we look at a lot of these High Schools, they start in one building, I have a couple of High Schools in Morris County that had buildings added on you are trying to find ways to get around the gyms and the windows cause you want to get the\_\_\_\_\_ into the schools, so you kind of try to have to play to how the building is built, so we look closely at addressing football fields, where people are out on the field, so it's difficult from just one side to get all the way through that building to the football field which I think is kind of in the other direction, so you have to use the two nodes to your advantage to try to get the entire school.

Mr. Gallagher: So here is my question again, worded slightly different, a lot of people have different arguments about power lines and their concerns about power lines and living near power lines and some of the health effects of it. I've heard the same arguments about cell towers. Well, my concern is just looking at where you want to put these first two on either side of our high school, so just for me, and, I can't speak for the governing body, but just for me I would like some kind of insurance that this is safe and safer than a cell tower or power lines which many people say are fine, but many people say they are not fine, so that is something if they weren't pinned on either side of the high school and understand why, your explanation is very clear, but if they weren't on either side of our schools, I know has 730 kids in it and parents going in and out most of the day and drop off and pick up, to me that is a little bit concerning, but again this is brand new to me and I haven't heard about this before tonight.

Ms. Hopkins: I don't necessarily, we can have our Commissions Expert that can come in to testify to the building study based on the selective (INAUDIBLE) and they can come in and compare a document for you guys that states exactly what the FCC

December 8, 2016

units are in terms in our commissions that would not exceed what they call the municipal rates or its mostly done at macro sites, but if you compare the work, I'm not going to testify to that because I'm not an emissions expert, but for every number 25 zoning periods in Morris County within the last two years we fall less than 1% of what the FCC deems acceptable as our emissions rates, so we are way below what they allow. (INAUDIBLE) ~

Mayor: I share Committeeman Gallagher's concerns, and, we have been down this with cell towers and some of the others perception with the school children is a big thing, I don't have to tell you that you've been before this, I'm not going to recite anything that you don't already know with these schools, so that is a concern of mine and probably a concern of our school boards as well. My question goes back to fundamental, are there issues of communications around the school areas? Because I'm out on the football field, I'm not getting good cellular contact? What's the deal?

Ms. Hopkins: No, I think at this point when you look at our existing structure and the way we present this today has changed from the way we did things ten years ago. We started off basically, just a phone line to calls and it kind of branches out to text messages, but now as the network changes, the technology changes, your phones no longer using the existing infrastructure that we used ten years ago, so everything now is internet \_\_\_\_\_; it requires a lot more capacity a lot more

Mayor: It's not a matter of the connection, it's the capacity?

Ms. Hopkins: Yes, so all of this dating including your voice because all of the phones they are sending out today use the internet as a voice over, as opposed to our old technology, so this new technology is more demanding on the network and that coupled with the increased data uses people are using their phone so much more.

Mayor: So basically, in essence, what you are also telling me is that without this additional capacity then there are some potential problems in my ability to use all of the capability of my cell phone?

Ms. Hopkins: Some of the things that you experience as the capacity starts to strain you find that you have slow \_\_\_\_\_, so trying to navigate that webpage might take a little bit longer. As it starts to progress, you have what we call "gas" in your phone calls, so you can be talking to somebody and get that gabble so that's when you start to drop calls, or it appears that the caller on the other end is no longer there and you hang up and the call disconnects or it doesn't go through at all.

Mayor: What I'm trying to establish is your probably sensing is it a beneficial need to do this in this area and why.

Ms. Hopkins: I have a daughter in a middle school and one of the experiences we had last year that a couple of the high schools that I've been to was Mt. Olive, West Orange Central, and some of the High Schools out there is that last year we had a lot of those lock downs going on and a bunch of students in an area that immediately jumps on their phone and let their parents know they are okay, the constraint on our network at that time with the parents rushing to the schools and children are coming out of the schools we actually had a couple schools reach out to us to come and help them to provide better services.

Mayor: So the pipeline just can't take it

Ms. Hopkins: Too many people that jump on the network at that time and our equipment can only handle certain amount at a time.

Mr. Brueno: So, if there was some overwhelming opposition on a building and we only rather have one of these opposed to two causes they are so close together would that suffice, is it some level of improvement, or is it two or nothing?

Ms. Hopkins: From our Engineers perspective, we would look to provide the best possible design, that will also help the surrounding site, so in my estimation our equipment handles 200 simultaneous users \_\_\_\_\_, with the student body of 700 kids that's a lot of nodes, the teachers, staff and that kind of thing that's a lot of people in one day, 10 months out of the year, sporting events on the weekends, two is probably

December 8, 2016

the best design for me, I look to do, I proposed the best design, it was the best design for the network, and we are talking about the entry way to the school and the offices in the front, there are a lot of people in the front working in the main offices, and I think the gym is off to the right and it was difficult for me to get back around the school so that second node is going to be responsible for coming into the school that way and going out to the fields so I'm trying to play off both of those nodes to get the most penetration into the building.

Mayor: Have you shared this information with our Board of Education yet? Have you made this benefit known to them?

Ms. Hopkins: No, we have not met with the school yet.

Mayor: Don't you think it would be obvious it serves their purpose.

Mr. Brueno: We are going to have to bring it up with them; I understand that it is a right-a-way. We are going to have them sign off on this. That will be a requirement.

Mr. Coppola: You are coming before us and, I like your analogy about filling up the vase, but with all you made for us there are only two poles and what is the life cycle of those two and also the size of the Town we got a lot of new buildings, MetLife and Bayer we are saying that is all we need is these two?

Mr. Weiss: Now.

Mr. Coppola: When are we looking for - soon?

Mr. Weiss: There has to be some way of prioritizing ~

Mr. Coppola: When in the future will you be looking for additional?

Mr. Weiss: Well not now, this year we are talking about these two - they are the immediate. Just like we can't tell what the future use patterns are going to be how many more people that are going to be using their wireless devices that means a lot.

Ms. Hopkins: Our technology is going to be better handling this capacity, but at the same time the uses are continuing to grow as well, and we are trying to stay ahead of that curve by the time we come before you guys and get these things through leasing and zoning and something does get built we are talking about 1 year to 1 and ½ year down the road. So, as the use continues, we are trying to get these solutions out there to continue to stay slightly ahead of the demands. So, for this particular location for your particular Town right now we are only looking at these two nodes and we do find that as a whole in Morris County I have found that high school macro system and addressing it directly because the student body is so centric and so

Mayor: I think we understand, but I think, we have representatives on this council that are before the Board of Education as well, but our comfort level would be better served if we had comment or input from our Board members, I understand it and I think we all do but I think...

Mr. Ferramosca: I have some basic questions. Go to page 9 and, we will talk about the safety, what I would expect is that a company like yours you would have come in here with an expert that could address this safety issue as opposed to this statement, I can read the words but I have questions that I would like to propose in regards to the safety.

Mr. Weiss: We can do that.

Mr. Ferramosca: That would be important. The next issue that I want us to all think about are pages 13 and 14, listening to communication and 13 is a great illustration of JCP&L pole; to the right of the utility pole is a brown rectangular box is this the proposed location for an antenna?

Mr. Weiss: No, that's not the antenna that's the equipment, I did mention that JCP&L has that requirement, if that were a PSE&G pole you would be able to put the equipment directly on it.

December 8, 2016

Mr. Ferramosca: We aren't talking about PSE&G, we are just only going to JCP&L.

Mr. Weiss: Understood, I'm just using that to tell you that different companies have different criteria so this is a JCP&L pole which means we have to meet the requirements that JCP&L puts on us, if we had our choice we would put it elsewhere, we are not given that choice by JCP&L.

Mr. Ferramosca: So this is an illustration of how you work the JCP&L; you have your antenna on the pole but your equipment will be in this rectangular box.

Mr. Weiss: Correct, located in the right-of-way within that point.

Mr. Ferramosca: Now let's look at page 14; on page 14 are we talking about putting in a utility pole where that arrow is?

Mr. Weiss: You would be talking about putting a new Verizon wood pole and it would look similar to page 8. 35 feet high.

Mr. Brancheau: (INAUDIBLE)

Mr. Weiss: We know who you are talking about, you said it and that is fine, and we have had multiple discussions with the League of Municipalities over this, they are not doing what we are proposing here, we are following the rules of the right-of-way statues and, we are also doing things in accordance with the (Inaudible) that's why I was very clear what I just said maintaining the street scape. When you go from a 35 foot pole to a 75 new structure that in my opinion is not maintaining the street scape, the integrity of the street scape, so we are not talking about going, Verizon Wireless is talking about the project that will always maintain continuity of the street scape.

Mr. Brancheau: So your poles will be same height as the existing poles?

Mr. Weiss: That is correct.

Mr. Maceira: Just to add on to that, some areas in Town are not overhead their service is underground, if you had to install

Mr. Weiss: Do you want to hear about that part of the project, but it does not pertain to this location but in cases like that we would come to the Township and we for example, there are no structures no overhead at all we would propose a cell design and in order to do that we would be coming to you and we would be working with the municipality to get an acceptable design that you found acceptable and then you would have to come in for what we call a second Letter of Consent, and mobility would be required to do that as well. So a company like Mobility and the case in the example that I just gave you we would be using Verizon Wireless does not own structures in the right-of-way we have a partner CLab, our partner (?), that entity would have to come in front of you to ask for permission to operate their structure in the right-of-way, if you want me I can elaborate a little more on that or did I say enough?

Mayor: We are way out in front of this.

Mr. Brueno: I think we want to get the Board of Ed involved.

Mayor: I would like input from the Board of Ed.

Mr. Weiss: You have the consent documents that were sent to your Township Administrator.

Mr. Giorgio: Yes, I have them

Mr. Ferramosca: I just want to go back to page 13 and 14 they set up potentially the concept, we talked about page 13 that is a JCP&L pole and if I recall correctly you are saying that there can only be 2 antennas on a JCP&L pole so that would support...

Mr. Weiss: They would have to be...

December 8, 2016

Mr. Ferramosca: Let's say there were two. It's a Verizon one on the right, and the other brand would be adjacent to it there and they would have a box similar to ours in order to have this thing work?

Mayor: How many structures are you going to have on the ground per carrier.

Mr. Weiss: Using your example, if it was T-Mobile? I don't know their equipment.

Mr. Ferramosca: Look there are 5 carriers of scale, and of those 5 carriers, I just want to know you painted the picture that said per pole there may be two, and if there is two then I'm going to have 2 antennas on the pole and then each of those antennas would be supported by an equipment box?

Mr. Weiss: In some cases antennas can be shared, it's not the preference but an antenna can be shared by two carriers, that has been done in the past. It's not us.

Ms. Hopkins: We are operating in different frequencies and using one antenna is specified based on (?) Verizon owns \_\_\_ licenses to operate on current frequencies, T-Mobile and Sprint operate on other frequencies which prevents us from interfering with each other, so it's usually not the best case to share.

Mr. Weiss: You can have a shared antenna it's not optimal or you can have another antennae a canister antenna that would be located with some reasonable separation from the antenna that is already present.

Mr. Ferramosca: Would that antenna be supported by its own equipment box?

Mr. Weiss: Yes. I don't know what the equipment is, I don't know its size.

Mr. Ferramosca: So theoretically, in this picture, I can have one antenna, two antennas but two equipment boxes.

Mayor: This is difficult.

Mr. Weiss: Before I answer this, I'm going to do my best. Right now we don't know, so assuming another company wants to be on the pole they have similar equipment to ours, they would have to put it somewhere.

Mr. Ferramosca: Good, now my question now goes to page 14; page 14 we want to have where that arrow is we want to have a pole, if this pole were there would this pole have to support co locations?

Mr. Weiss: It would support two boxes.

Ms. Schnaars: It would attach to the pole.

Mr. Weiss: So just imagine another box; on a newly set Verizon pole, so imagine page 8 and imagine another box on that pole.

Mr. Gallagher: The Mayor asked you about the need and why we need this at this school? We have 1490 children in our K-8 and many of them have cell phones but our Board of Education does not allow you under its policy you are not allowed to use your cell phone during the day and the High School has a similar policy so with 730 students in Whippany Park High School they are not allowed to use their cell phones during the day. I'm just concerned about this school.

Mr. Weiss: So let me just tell you my own experience with that. It doesn't work.

Mr. Gallagher: The policy of the Board of Education.

Mr. Weiss: I know that my kids, I have three children, all through high school, and they all had a policy and the kids were always using their phones, the kids were texting, always on the phones. I agree with you, I don't personally agree when cell phones are used in school. (Inaudible)

December 8, 2016

Mr. Brueno: They come in in the morning, park, and the buses dropped them off and every one of them are on their phones.

Mayor: Can I suggest something, I think and by the way I think this meeting did lead to a good conclusion here, we would like to get some sort of comment from the Regional Board and I might add from our Elementary Board in case in the event of the future, so I think until we get that, I don't think we are going to be comfortable giving a decision right now, that request shouldn't be unusual, have you gone through this with other municipalities.

Mr. Weiss: I would like to follow up on your \_\_\_\_\_ service. So I would be happy to generate....

Mayor: Respectfully, if you answer those safety concerns to the Board of Education and they acknowledge their approval to us, I don't think we have an issue.

Mr. Gallagher: I can just tell you that the K-8 I'm sure much more than the High School is very strict about their cell phone policy. It's in their lockers and we all test them. My daughter is a texting fanatic and I have tested her and it's in her locker. We have a lot of information we have to look over.

Mr. Semrau: I came in a little late, sorry, Dawn was here earlier. First, I just want to say that in another town you are making a similar application, and I think it is much more helpful that you came here tonight because now it's not just in paper and that is helpful. But, I think for the benefit of the Township Committee, I have one question, if the governing body is not in favor of this, I mean we have the Telecommunications Act and things like that, you are looking for consent, normally when we have right-a-way issues I advise municipalities don't have that much say. We can only hope to mold this, but in this case, how would you respond if the governing body is not in favor of this. Do you take the position that you fall under the Telecommunication Act, they have to consent within reason, or are, if you don't get yes what you are going to do.

Ms. Schnaars: I think that is a question for Verizon's Counsel.

Mr. Weiss: I can tell you our philosophy, how we would follow this project and throughout Northern New Jersey, our position is after trying your point to get final approval, to educate to help people to understand what it is we are doing and how we are doing it, I think it is part of the reason why to your point we have changed our procedures to get in front of the people more.

Ms. Schnaars: And, also to be clear we are not really asking the counsel for a site specific, we are asking for the approval to operate in the right-of-way and the site specific approvals will come from the Building Department and other departments.

Mr. Brancheau: (Inaudible)

Mr. Giorgio: It's probably under the prevue of the Engineering Department, correct Gerry?

Mr. Maceira: Correct, and we have a process for a road opening permit anytime a utility is working within the right-a-way you have to take out a road opening permit. And, we will review in terms of how you leave the site and terms of appearance but also in this case because you have a solid structure the cabinet we will be looking in terms of site distance obstructions if you were too close to a driveway, something that might obstruct the public safety I think is what we would be looking at before we issue that permit.

Mr. Schnaars: So whatever levels of approvals are made by the proposed by the counsel that is what we will be looking to do on a site by site basis so we are not asking for permission to build this specific

Mayor: We are still way out ahead of ourselves. You are going to comply with whatever our codes are again, we are way ahead of ourselves. I think the counsel really can't take a position on this, I don't think they are against it. I don't think they are in favor of it. I think they want to hear from our School Board who is the audience that

December 8, 2016

you are really going after for the density that you are looking for that market for those kids and all. And, I understand the need for better faster movement of data etc., because of that pipe that you are dealing with there, let me call it that, but again I would not want us to take any action without their affirming that action also and I know it is not required but, in fact it is, that they felt comfortable with it and they understand it and I would love you to give this particular presentation to them and have them ask their questions and if they came back to us affirming the need then fine ~ we are done.

Mr. Weiss: First of all, as you are probably aware, dealing with the Board of Educations are very challenging, what happens is you don't usually get one meeting to hear out matters. I think if the municipality has concerns about the school feels about it you would probably be more effective in giving that feedback then we would.

Mayor: We are fine with that, we have that ability, that conduit to the Board and we can use that, if that's the direction that the Committee wants to go.

Mr. Brueno: That's the best way to go and if they come back with questions that we can't answer that are specifically you then we will ask you back.

Mr. Weiss: If you arrange something we would be happy to join, we think that would, you would have more influence in getting their attention than we would. We have found it very hard. We are happy to go in front of them, with you or without you as long as sometimes tells us to arrange and keep us out of the beaurocratic loop.

Mayor: Yes that is fine.

Mr. Gallagher: I agree, maybe coming into a meeting would be more effective because the headline would be that they don't want cell phone towers by their schools. I am the liaison to the K-8, I don't have the expertise to tell them why it would be a wonderful thing to have cell phone towers by their schools. The last thing I want to say in defense if there is 730 kids in Whippany Park High School, cause we discredited the polices that they are on their phones all the time, even if 250 kids at once were on their phone during the school day, which they are not because they are in class, its 250 out 730 that's not much of a need so I'm going back to Ron I'm looking at the specific need and then the safety of this and again to conclude safety and I'm a father and most of us are thank God, it's a beautiful thing, but there are still people that think power lines are safe, but I personally would not want to buy a house under power lines and there are so many arguments about cell phone towers many of them and there is a lot of conflicting signs on cell phones themselves and we all have them and my children have them, so like Ron said we have a lot to think about and a lot to talk.

Mayor: I think we need more input ~ I really do. I think we are willing to partner with you before the Board and we will set that up and if you want to join us.

Mr. Weiss: Mr. Semrau our intent is to work with the Municipalities so I don't want to secure the question, but I just want to tell you that we found that working with the municipalities has been a better approach. We are moving in the right direction and having these meetings educating, taking and trying to partner with the municipalities to try to get something to happen. We are availing ourselves to any meetings anything that will help, we will answer any questions possible.

Mayor: The Administrator will get back to you and give you some dates.

Mr. Giorgio: If you have any business cards please give my secretary your name and phone number.

Mayor: We will give you some dates with our representatives and when the School Board can see us, all of us, and we will get some of our concerns on the table and their questions answered and hopefully come away with something favorable.

Mr. Weiss: Thank you very much.

-----  
**PUBLIC HEARING ON A PERSON-TO-PERSON TRANSFER OF INACTIVE  
PLENARY RETAIL CONSUMPTION LICENSE NO. 1412-33-005-009 FROM**

December 8, 2016

**SCALLOPINI OF WHIPPANY, LLC AND TRADING AS SCALLOPINI TO HANOVER HOSPITALITY CORPORATION TO BE HELD AS AN INACTIVE, POCKET LICENSE.**

Joe Giorgio: Gentleman, Scaloppini which formally was Bensi's closed its business last April and now it is in a position to sell its inactive license to Hanover Hospitality Corporation which is an arm of the Mack Cali Corporation, so at this time we will state for the record that the Police Department as we do with all applications for transfer conducted its investigation of the corporate officers that would be in charge of the new liquor license and finds that there is no reason to deny the Person-To-Person Transfer of the inactive license.

Mr. Coppola: This is distribution or consumption?

Mr. Giorgio: This is a consumption license.

Mayor: I think Mack Cali is anticipating a restaurant or something else on the highway at some point.

Mr. Ferramosca: Mr. Giorgio this has nothing to do with any kind of implication of a supermarket, is that correct?

Mr. Giorgio: That is correct.

Mr. Ferramosca: I just want to be very clear on that.

Mr. Giorgio: That is absolutely correct Mr. Ferramosca. So we have the recommendation of the Police Department to move ahead with the application so as it is customary for us I need a motion to conduct a Public Hearing.

Motion to conduct a Public Hearing made by Member Francioli and second by Member Ferramosca and unanimously passed.

Is there anyone present wishing to be heard concerning the Person-To-Person transfer of inactive Plenary Retail Consumption License No. 1412-33-005-009 from Scallopini of Whippany, LLC and trading as Scallopini to Hanover Hospitality Corporation to be held as an Inactive Pocket License, that means until they have a place to put this license it is held inactive and then they will have to come back and fill out another 12 page application to activate the license. Is there anyone in chambers wishing to be heard concerning this Person-To-Person Transfer?

Seeing none, hearing none, Motion made by Member Brueno and seconded by Member Francioli and unanimously passed.

**RESOLUTION NO. 212-2016**

**A RESOLUTION APPROVING A PERSON-TO-PERSON TRANSFER OF INACTIVE  
PLENARY RETAIL CONSUMPTION LICENSE NUMBER 1412-33-005-009  
PRESENTLY HELD BY SCALLOPINI OF WHIPPANY, LLC AND TRADING AS  
SCALLOPINI TO HANOVER HOSPITALITY CORPORATION TO BE HELD AS AN  
INACTIVE, POCKET LICENSE**

**WHEREAS, Hanover Hospitality Corporation**, a Business Corporation, has applied to the Township Committee of the Township of Hanover, in the County of Morris and State of New Jersey, for a Person-to-Person transfer of Plenary Retail Consumption License Number 1412-33-005-009 held by Scallopini of Whippany, LLC and trading as Scallopini, and currently held by the Township as an inactive, pocket license; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant, **Hanover Hospitality Corporation**, has deposited along with the application, a Person-to-Person transfer fee of \$203.00 payable to the Township of Hanover and a check in the amount of \$200.00 made payable to the New Jersey Division of Alcohol Beverage Control to cover the ABC transfer fee; and

December 8, 2016

**WHEREAS**, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 13:2-2.5, **Hanover Hospitality Corporation** submitted an Affidavit of Publication stating that the notice of the Person-to-Person Transfer application appeared in full in the October 19, 2016 and October 26, 2016 issues of the Daily Record; and

**WHEREAS**, a Transfer Clearance Certificate dated October 31, 2016 has been issued by the Division of Taxation in the New Jersey Department of the Treasury; and

**WHEREAS**, no objections have been filed with the Township Clerk concerning the application of **Hanover Hospitality Corporation**; and

**WHEREAS**, N.J.A.C. 13:2-7.7 states in pertinent part that if no written objection is received by the Township, the governing body is not required to hold a public hearing concerning the Person-to-Person Transfer application however, it does not relieve the issuing authority from the responsibility of making a thorough investigation of the application on its own initiative; and

**WHEREAS**, the Hanover Township Police Department completed the required fingerprinting and background investigations of the principals of **Hanover Hospitality Corporation** and found that no reason exists to deny their application; and

**WHEREAS**, the application and all supporting documentation submitted by **Hanover Hospitality Corporation** has been reviewed and deemed complete by the Business Administrator/Township Clerk and has recommended to the Township Committee that the application of **Hanover Hospitality** for a Person-to-Person Transfer and purchase of Plenary Retail Consumption No. 1412-33-005-009 be approved as an inactive, pocket license; and

**WHEREAS**, the governing body has deemed the application of **Hanover Hospitality Corporation** to be in order and complete.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Township Committee of the Township of Hanover, in the County of Morris and State of New Jersey, as follows:

1. The application of **Hanover Hospitality Corporation**, a Business Corporation for a Person-to-Person transfer of Plenary Retail Consumption License Number 1412-33-005-009 from Scallopini of Whippany, LLC and trading as Scallopini, is hereby approved and shall be held as an Inactive, Pocket License by **Hanover Hospitality Corporation** effective upon the approval of this resolution.

2. That a certified copy of this resolution shall be transmitted to the New Jersey Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control, **Hanover Hospitality Corporation**, and the Hanover Township Police Department for reference and information purposes.

**Motion for approval made by Member Coppola and seconded by Member Ferramosca and unanimously passed.**

**So Approved.**

-----  
**PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNING THE TOWNSHIP OF HANOVER'S REQUEST FOR A \$12,000.00 MATCHING GRANT THROUGH THE MORRIS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM IN SEEKING GRANT FUNDS FOR A REPLACEMENT DIAL-A-RIDE VEHICLE.**

Mr. Giorgio: It's the recommendation of the Superintendent of Recreation and Park Administration Department that the Township buy for a matching grant to replace an existing Dial-A-Ride vehicle that is outlived it's useful purpose and in this respect Mrs. Brennan is recommending that the Township submit a \$12,000 matching grant through the Morris County Community Development Block Grant Program in seeking grant funds that the Township would have to match that \$12,000 grant if successful with another \$12,000.00. So, at this time, are there any comments from the members of the Township Committee?

Mr. Brueno: So what we are looking to do is our Dial-A-Ride vehicles are both in excess of 15 years old and we feel that it may be time for an upgrade and there is an opportunity to get some matching dollars so that providing that we get those dollars we

December 8, 2016

will look at buying an additional vehicle but if not then I don't think we will do that, we will probably explore another avenue.

Mayor: This is a sedan.

Mr. Brueno: Yes, the van is in place it works great but the sedans are really old and beat up.

Mr. Giorgio: So, in conformance with Community Development Block Grant regulations is there any person in chambers wishing to make comment concerning this request to submit this grant application? Seeing none, hearing none, may we have a motion to close the public hearing.

Motion to close the public hearing made by Member Francioli and seconded by Member Brueno and unanimously passed. So approved.

---

**DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS**

The following reports were presented and ordered filed as received:

Chief Financial Officer	S. Esposito
Property Maintenance	E. DeSimoni
Green Team/Environmental	
Public Works	B. Foran
Engineering Reports	G. Maceira

All reports are on file in the Business Administrator's Office.

---

**APPROVAL OF TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE MINUTES:**

The Minutes of the Regular Meeting of November 10, 2016 have been presented to the members of the Committee prior to this meeting by the Township Clerk.

Member Francioli moved that the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of November 10, 2016 be accepted and approved as presented by the Township Clerk. The motion was seconded by Member Ferramosca and was unanimously passed.

---

**PUBLIC HEARING AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCES:**

**ORDINANCE NO. 34-2016**

**AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF ONE NEW MULTI-PURPOSE STEINER 25 HP DIESEL 440 TRACTOR WITH AN ALL-WEATHER HEATED CAB, 48" SNOW BLOWER AND A 72" MOWER DECK AND V PLOW FOR THE PUBLIC WORKS, BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS AND PARK MAINTENANCE DEPARTMENT AND FURTHER APPROPRIATING THE SUM OF \$32,000.00 FROM THE TOWNSHIP'S 2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND AND ALL PRIOR YEARS FOR THE FINANCING OF THE TRACTOR AND RELATED EQUIPMENT**

Proof of Publication that the Ordinance and the Notice of Introduction for Ordinance 34-16 appeared in full in the November 16<sup>th</sup>, 2016 issue of the Daily Record in accordance with the law.

Motion to open public hearing made by Member Gallagher and seconded by Member Ferramosca and unanimously passed.

Is there anyone present wishing to be heard concerning Ordinance No. 34-2016?

Motion to close public hearing made by Member Brueno and seconded by Member Gallagher and unanimously passed.

Now on Adoption, Be it resolved, that an Ordinance entitled "**AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF ONE NEW MULTI-PURPOSE STEINER 25 HP DIESEL 440**

December 8, 2016

**TRACTOR WITH AN ALL-WEATHER HEATED CAB, 48" SNOW BLOWER AND A 72" MOWER DECK AND V PLOW FOR THE PUBLIC WORKS, BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS AND PARK MAINTENANCE DEPARTMENT AND FURTHER APPROPRIATING THE SUM OF \$32,000.00 FROM THE TOWNSHIP'S 2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND AND ALL PRIOR YEARS FOR THE FINANCING OF THE TRACTOR AND RELATED EQUIPMENT,"** be passed on final reading and that a Notice of the final passage of the Ordinance be published in the December 14<sup>th</sup> 2016 issue of the Daily Record.

Motion made by Member Gallagher and seconded by Member Coppola and unanimously passed.

**So Adopted.**

Mr. Gallagher: Mayor I would like to say to the public that this is another example of government at work, as a Committee, we have put together quite a bit of new projects, connectivity, sidewalks from our schools to our retail and this is a great way for the DPW to better maintain those sidewalks, sometimes we get a lot of snow and sometimes we don't but we do have a lot more work to do and this is a phenomenal piece of equipment so the Town is going to appreciate it, the DPW appreciates it and once again being involved with the DPW like I am, I appreciate it and it's going to be good. Hopefully, we don't need it much this winter.

Mayor: It also should be known typically the way the Town operates this has been budgeted for in the beginning of the year but then the request comes back in to approve the expenditure of that line item on the budget and this is what this is all about. So this is not an unexpected expense ~ we have planned for it. Thank you.

**ORDINANCE NO. 35-2016**

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF HANOVER MAKING THE PROVISIONS OF SUBTITLE ONE OF TITLE 39 WITH VARIOUS TRAFFIC REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO THE QUICKCHEK REALTY, L.L.C. (A/K/A THE QUICKCHECK CONVENIENCE STORE AND GASOLINE SERVICE STATION) LOCATED AT 2 SOUTH JEFFERSON ROAD AT THE INTERSECTION OF NEW JERSEY STATE HIGHWAY ROUTE 10 EASTBOUND IN THE WHIPPANY SECTION OF THE TOWNSHIP AND REGULATING THE USE OF SAID ROADWAYS, DRIVEWAYS, AND PARKING AREAS BY MOTOR VEHICLES**

Proof of Publication that the Ordinance and the Notice of Introduction for Ordinance 35-16 appeared in full in the November 16<sup>th</sup>, 2016 issue of the Daily Record in accordance with the law.

Motion to open public hearing made by Member Brueno and seconded by Member Coppola and unanimously passed.

Is there anyone present wishing to be heard concerning Ordinance No. 35-2016?

Motion to close public hearing made by Member Brueno and seconded by Member Ferramosca and unanimously passed.

Now on Adoption, Be it resolved, that an Ordinance entitled "**AN ORDINANCE OF THE TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF HANOVER MAKING THE PROVISIONS OF SUBTITLE ONE OF TITLE 39 WITH VARIOUS TRAFFIC REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO THE QUICKCHEK REALTY, L.L.C. (A/K/A THE QUICKCHECK CONVENIENCE STORE AND GASOLINE SERVICE STATION) LOCATED AT 2 SOUTH JEFFERSON ROAD AT THE INTERSECTION OF NEW JERSEY STATE HIGHWAY ROUTE 10 EASTBOUND IN THE WHIPPANY SECTION OF THE TOWNSHIP AND REGULATING THE USE OF SAID ROADWAYS, DRIVEWAYS, AND PARKING AREAS BY MOTOR VEHICLES,"** be passed on final reading and that a Notice of the final passage of the Ordinance be published in the December 14<sup>th</sup> 2016 issue of the Daily Record.

Motion made by Member Francioli and seconded by Member Brueno and unanimously passed.

December 8, 2016

Mr. Coppola: This actually gives us the right to enforce those laws for violations.

Mr. Giorgio: Once the Notice of Adoption appears in the Daily Record next Wednesday the Police Department then has the authority to enforce those regulations, that is correct Sir.

**So Adopted.**

-----  
**RESOLUTIONS**

**RESOLUTION NO. 213-2016**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF HANOVER PROMOTING LAUREN DALGAUER TO THE POSITION OF A FULL-TIME DEPUTY MUNICIPAL COURT ADMINISTRATOR AT THE RATE OF \$37.29 PER HOUR OR, IF ANNUALIZED, AT A SALARY OF \$67,874.00 PER ANNUM, ALL IN ACCORDANCE WITH JOB GROUP CLASSIFICATION VI OF SCHEDULE "B" AND SALARY RANGE GUIDE "C" OF SALARY ORDINANCE NO. 9-2016 EFFECTIVE MONDAY, DECEMBER 12, 2016**

**WHEREAS, Lauren Dalgauer** was appointed as a Part-Time Deputy Court Administrator on April 1, 1997; and

**WHEREAS,** on September 1, 2011, the Townships of Hanover and East Hanover entered into a Shared Services Agreement whereby the Township of Hanover serves as the lead agency in the operation of a Shared Violations Bureau and Shared Municipal Court; and

**WHEREAS,** the Shared Services Agreement was renewed for another five (5) year period commencing September 1, 2016 through August 31, 2021; and

**WHEREAS,** based on statistical information supplied by the Morris-Sussex Vicinage, the Hanover Township/East Hanover Shared Municipal Court is the second busiest Court in Morris County in terms of case load filings; and

**WHEREAS,** in order to contend with the daily work load, the Municipal Court Administrator has recommended that the current authorized strength of the Violations Bureau consisting of one (1) full-time Court Administrator, one (1) full-time Deputy Court Administrator, and two (2) part-time Deputy Court Administrators (one (1) vacancy) and one vacant Violations Clerk position be increased to five (5) full-time and one (1) part-time position; and

**WHEREAS,** the Township Committee has considered the recommendation of the Municipal Court Administrator and has agreed to amend the authorized strength of the Bureau by promoting one (1) current Deputy Court Administrator to full-time status and employing one (1) additional full-time Deputy Court Administrator, and filling the vacant Violations Clerk position; and

**WHEREAS,** the total authorized strength of the Violations Bureau shall be four (4) full-time employees and one (1) part-time employee; and

**WHEREAS,** over her nineteen and one-half (19 1/2 ) years employment as a part-time Deputy Court Administrator, **Lauren Dalgauer** has excelled in performing her job and gaining significant experience and expertise in executing her job duties and responsibilities; and

**WHEREAS,** because of her skills and job knowledge, the Court Administrator and Business Administrator recommend that **Lauren Dalgauer** be promoted to full-time Deputy Municipal Court Administrator effective Monday, December 12, 2016.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED,** by the Township Committee of the Township of Hanover in the County of Morris and State of New Jersey as follows:

1. That **Lauren Dalgauer** residing at 5 Dogwood Road in Whippany, New Jersey 07981 is hereby promoted to serve as a **Full-Time Deputy Municipal Court Administrator** effective Monday, December 12, 2016.

December 8, 2016

2. In accordance with Job Group Classification VI under Schedule "B" and Salary Range Guide "C" in Ordinance No. 9-2016, **Ms. Dalgauer** shall be compensated at the rate of \$37.29 per hour or, if annualized at a salary of \$67,874.00. Pursuant to Township Policy, **Ms. Dalgauer** shall be entitled to receive the annual cost of living adjusted that may be granted by the Township Committee to non-union civilian employees.
3. **Ms. Dalgauer** shall be required to pay a minimum of 25% of the total monthly premium cost for health insurance/medical benefits coverage under any one of the three health insurance plans offered by the Township. This requirement is in accordance with Section 61-14.C entitled "Health Benefits Coverage" under Chapter 61 of the Code of the Township. In the event that **Ms. Dalgauer** elects to waive medical benefits coverage, she shall be compensated in the amount of 25% of the insurance premium she waives. However, the amount of compensation for such waiver shall not exceed of \$4,000.00 in exchange for opting out of the Township's medical benefits coverage for a one year period. This provision is in accordance with a resolution approved by the Township Committee on April 11, 2013.
4. **Ms. Dalgauer** shall be eligible to earn and accrue .5 sick leave days a month up to six (6) leave days in each calendar year of service pursuant to Section 61-11.A.(3) of Chapter 61 of the Code.
5. Pursuant to Section 61-10D., **Ms. Dalgauer** shall be entitled to a one-half vacation day during December, 2016. In calendar year 2017, **Ms. Dalgauer** shall be eligible to receive ten (10) vacation days.
6. That certified copies of this resolution shall be transmitted to **Ms. Dalgauer**, the Municipal Court Administrator and Chief Municipal Finance Officer for reference and information purposes.

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 214-2016**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF HANOVER AUTHORIZING A SHARED SERVICES AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN THE TOWNSHIP OF HANOVER AND THE COUNTY OF MORRIS CONCERNING THE PERFORMANCE OF LOCAL HEALTH SERVICES BY THE COUNTY OF MORRIS FOR THE TOWNSHIP OF HANOVER DURING A FIVE (5) YEAR PERIOD COMMENCING JANUARY 1, 2017 AND ENDING ON DECEMBER 31, 2021 AND FURTHER AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND TOWNSHIP CLERK TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT ALL IN ACCORDANCE WITH N.J.S.A. 40A:65-4 AND N.J.S.A. 26:3A2-1 ET SEQ.**

**WHEREAS**, the Township of Hanover and the County of Morris seek to enter into a Shared Services Agreement whereby the County of Morris, through its Department of Law & Public Safety, Office of Health Management will provide the Township with a licensed Health Officer and with such other properly trained and experienced personnel that may be required or necessary to discharge the local and State health regulations within the Township, all in accordance with N.J.S.A. 26:3A3-1 et seq. and N.J.A.C. 8:52-1.1 et seq.; and

**WHEREAS**, the terms and conditions of the Shared Services Agreement are described in full in the Agreement which is attached hereto and made a part of this resolution as if set forth in full; and

**WHEREAS**, the Shared Services Agreement shall commence on January 1, 2017 and terminate on December 31, 2021; and

**WHEREAS**, the Township Committee, in its capacity as the Township's Board of Health, believe it to be in the best interest of the Township, to enter into a Shared Services Agreement with the County of Morris whereby the County of Morris shall assign a licensed Health Officer and other personnel as may be necessary from

December 8, 2016

the Office of Health Management to provide health related services as described in the attached Shared Services Agreement.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Township Committee of the Township of Hanover in the County of Morris and State of New Jersey as follows:

1. In accordance with the Shared Services Regulations promulgated under N.J.S.A. 40A:65-4 et seq. and pursuant to the Local Health Services Act as codified at N.J.S.A. 26:3A2-1 et seq., the governing body hereby approves a Shared Services Agreement by and between the Township of Hanover and the County of Morris whereby the County of Morris, through its Office of Health Management, under the Department of Law and Public, shall provide the Township with a licensed Health Officer and with such other properly trained and experienced personnel that may be required or necessary to discharge the local and State health regulations within the Township.
2. The Shared Services Agreement, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part of this resolution, shall be in effect for a five (5) year period commencing on January 1, 2017 and terminating on December 31, 2021. This Agreement may be terminated for just cause by giving 180 days written notice to the other party.
3. That certified copies of this resolution shall be transmitted to the Morris County Office of Health Management, the Township's Health Department and Township's Chief Municipal Finance Officer for reference and information purposes.

**RESOLUTION NO. 215-2016**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE ACCEPTING A GRANT FROM THE HAZARDOUS DISCHARGE SITE REMEDIATION FUND PUBLIC ENTITY PROGRAM THROUGH THE NEW JERSEY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND FURTHER AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE GRANT DOCUMENTS ON BEHALF OF THE TOWNSHIP OF HANOVER**

**WHEREAS**, the Township of Hanover has applied for and has been awarded a grant in the amount of up to \$113,235.00 from the Hazardous Discharge Site Remediation Fund Municipal Grant Program through the Department of Environmental Protection and the New Jersey Economic Development Authority for Remedial Investigation along with Report Preparation of the former Van Dyk Research Corp. property.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Township Committee of the Township of Hanover in the County of Morris and State of New Jersey as follows:

1. The above referenced grant is hereby accepted and the Mayor is hereby authorized to execute grant documents as an authorized representative thereunder, as the representative for Hanover Township.
2. That a certified copy of this resolution be forwarded to the New Jersey Economic Development Authority.

**RESOLUTION NO. 216-2016**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF HANOVER APPROVING AN AGREEMENT WITH THE MORRIS COUNTY MUNICIPAL UTILITIES AUTHORITY COMMENCING JANUARY 1, 2017 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2019 IN THE PERFORMANCE OF SINGLE-STREAM CURBSIDE COLLECTION OF RECYCLABLES AND FURTHER AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND TOWNSHIP CLERK TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT**

**WHEREAS**, since 1998, the Morris County Municipal Utilities Authority (hereinafter referred to as the "MCMUA") has assisted the Township of Hanover,

December 8, 2016

through a shared services arrangement, in meeting the Township's recycling goals by providing for the curbside collection of recyclable materials, and as an outlet for the disposal of these materials; and

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to the Uniform Shared Services and Consolidation Act at N.J.S.A. 40A:65-1 et seq., the Township has entered into an agreement with the MCMUA for the performance of the curbside collection of recyclables from the Township's residential units and various institutional, non-residential units; and

**WHEREAS**, the MCMUA has now introduced single-stream recycling whereby various recyclables including but not limited to aluminum cans, newspapers, plastic containers and junk mail can now be co-mingled into the same recycling container(s); and

**WHEREAS**, in order to continue the single-stream recycling program at a cost savings to the Township, it is necessary for the Township and the MCMUA to execute a renewed agreement that shall supersede previous agreements in providing for the curbside collection of , acceptance, processing and marketing of recyclable materials at the Morris County Recycling Consolidation Center; and

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:65-5, it is the intention of the Township Committee to enter into a Shared Services Agreement with the MCMUA for the purpose of approving and authorizing the MCMUA to perform single-stream recycling and all other related recycling services within the Township of Hanover.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Township Committee of the Township of Hanover in the County of Morris and State of New Jersey as follows:

1. The governing body hereby authorizes and approves an Agreement by and between the Township and the Morris County Municipal Utilities Authority in providing for the single-stream collection, processing and marketing of recyclable materials by the MCMUA from residential units and other institutional, non-residential units within the Township of Hanover. The Agreement providing for the single-stream collection of recyclable materials is attached hereto and made a part of this resolution as if set forth in full.
2. The Agreement by and between the Township and the MCMUA shall commence on January 1, 2017 and continue until December 31, 2019. The Agreement shall be automatically extended for two (2) additional one (1) year periods from January 1, 2020 until December 31, 2020 and January 1, 2021 until December 31, 2021, unless one party notifies the other in writing with at least ninety (90) days' notice of its intent to terminate.
3. The Mayor and Township Clerk are hereby authorized and directed to execute the above referenced Agreement on behalf of the Township of Hanover.
4. That a certified copy of this resolution along with the signed Agreement shall be transmitted to the MCMUA's District Recycling Coordinator, the Township's Recycling Coordinator, the Superintendent of the DPW and the Chief Municipal Finance Officer for reference and information purposes.

**RESOLUTION NO. 217-2016**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE AUTHORIZING 2016  
BUDGETARY APPROPRIATION TRANSFERS IN ACCORDANCE WITH N.J.S.A.  
40A:4-58**

**WHEREAS**, N.J.S.A. 40A:4-58 sets forth the manner in which appropriation transfers may be made; and

**WHEREAS**, there appears to be insufficient funds in the following accounts (excepting the appropriation for contingent expenses or deferred charges) to

December 8, 2016

meet the demands thereon for the balance of the 2016 current year.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Township Committee of the Township of Hanover, County of Morris, that this resolution be adopted (by not less than two-thirds of all the members thereof affirmatively concurring) in accordance with the provision of N.J.S.A. 40A:4-58, part of the surplus in the accounts mentioned below be and the same are hereby transferred to the accounts (excepting the appropriation for Contingent Expenses or Deferred Charges) mentioned as being insufficient, to meet the current demands of the 2016 operating budget.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Treasurer is hereby authorized and directed to make the following transfers:

<b>FROM:</b>			<b>TO:</b>		
<b>ACCOUNT</b>			<b>ACCOUNT</b>		
<u>NO</u>	<u>LINE ITEM</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>LINE ITEM</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
126-0010	Municipal Ct. SW	\$ 7,500.00	126-0011	Engineering OE	\$ 2,500.00
126-0001	Admin S&W	4,600.00	126-0082	Comm. Ctr OE	\$ 2,500.00
			126-0010	Municipal Ct OE	\$ 2,500.00
			126-0052	Imp. Bldg&Grnds OE	4,600.00

**RESOLUTION NO. 218-2016**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE REFUND OF REDEMPTION MONEYS TO AN OUTSIDE LIENHOLDER**

**WHEREAS**, at the Township of Hanover Municipal Tax Sale held on December 2, 2013, a lien was sold on Block 3504, Lot 9 also known as 42 Perry Street, Whippany, New Jersey 07981, for 2012 delinquent taxes; and

**WHEREAS**, this lien, known as Tax Sale Certificate 2013-08, was sold to US Bank Cust for Pro Cap III, LLC for a 0% redemption fee and an \$800.00 premium paid; and

**WHEREAS**, Rick Trezza, owner has affected redemption of Certificate 2013-08 in the amount of \$3,396.44.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Certified Municipal Finance Officer be authorized to issue a check in the amount of \$3,396.44, payable to US Bank Cust for Pro Cap III, LLC, 50 South 16<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1950, Philadelphia, Pa 19102 for the redemption of Tax Sale Certificate 2013-08

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Chief Municipal Finance Officer be authorized to issue a check in the amount of \$800.00 (Premium) to the aforementioned lien holder.

**RESOLUTION NO. 219-2016**

**A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE REFUND OF TAX OVERPAYMENTS**

**BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Township Committee, of the Township of Hanover, County of Morris and State of New Jersey, that the following tax overpayments, as certified by Silvio Esposito, Collector of Taxes, be and are hereby refunded:

<u>BLOCK</u>	<u>LOT</u>	<u>QUAL. #</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
201	3		Matthew Feo & Stephanie Lalka 92 Malapardis Road Morris Plains, NJ 07950 RE: 360 Malapardis Rd	\$37.82
2104	2		Zipp Tannenbaum & Caccavelli WWG 197 Ridgedale LLC 280 Raritan Center Parkway	

December 8, 2016  
Edison, NJ 08837  
Location: 197 Ridgedale Ave  
Reserve for Tax Appeal \$24,759.00

2402	2 & 3	Brach Eichler LLC MB1 Cedar Knolls LLC 101 Eisenhower Parkway Roseland, NJ 07068 Location: 240 Cedar Knolls Rd Reserve for Tax Appeal	\$336,410.00
4504	4	Ronald & Jody Rusin 18 Karla Drive Whippany, NJ 07981	\$58.96
6902	5	Brach Eichler LLC 156 Algonquin Associates LLC 101 Eisenhower Parkway Roseland, NJ 07068 Location: 156 Algonquin Parkway Reserve for Tax Appeals	\$2,850.00
6902	13	Brach Eichler LLC 30 Leslie Associates LLC 101 Eisenhower Parkway Roseland, NJ 07068 Location: 7 Leslie Court Reserve for Tax Appeals	\$19,677.00
6903	4	Brach Eichler LLC 145 Algonquin Associates 101 Eisenhower Parkway Roseland, NJ 07068 Location: 145 Algonquin Pkwy Reserve for Tax Appeals	\$5,491.00
6903	7	Brach Eichler LLC 125 Algonquin Associates LLC 101 Eisenhower Parkway Roseland, NJ 07068 Location: 125 Algonquin Pkwy Reserve for Tax Appeals	\$13,940.00

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 220-2016**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF HANOVER AUTHORIZING A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH RICHARD BARRINGTON, P.E. AND THE FIRM OF THE LOUIS BERGER GROUP, INC. FOR THE PURPOSE OF PERFORMING A PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT IN CONNECTION WITH LITIGATION RELATED TO RIVER PARK BUSINESS CENTER, LLC AND FURTHER AUTHORIZING THE BUSINESS ADMINISTRATOR/TOWNSHIP CLERK, AS THE TOWNSHIP'S QUALIFIED PURCHASING AGENT, TO EXECUTE A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT, ALL IN ACCORDANCE WITH N.J.S.A. 40A:11-5.(1)(a)(i) AND N.J.S.A. 19:44A-20.5 AND 19:44A-20.26 ET SEQ.**

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to the provisions of the Local Public Contracts Law at N.J.S.A. 40A:11-5.(1)(a)(i) and N.J.S.A. 19:44A-20.5 and 19:44A-20.26 et seq., the Township Committee desires to retain the services of a professional engineer and professional engineering consultant firm for the purpose of performing a Phase I Environment Site Assessment (ESA) in accordance with ASTM Standards to determine the presence or absence of hazardous substances or petroleum products in connection with property related to litigation with River Park Business Center, LLC; and

**WHEREAS**, at the request of the Township Attorney, **Richard Barrington**, a Professional Engineer licensed by the State of New Jersey and an

December 8, 2016

Associate Vice President of the Firm of **The Louis Berger Group, Inc.**, (hereinafter referred to as "**Louis Berger**") submitted a written proposal and quotation in order to perform the Phase I ESA; and

**WHEREAS**, the total contract amount with **Louis Berger** shall not exceed the lump sum amount of \$17,000.00 as follows:

- 1. Task A. – Preparation of Phase I Environmental Site Assessment.....\$9,800.00
- 2. Task B. – Site Screening (Natural Resources)..... 7,200.00
- TOTAL AMOUNT:**.....**\$17,000.00**; and

**WHEREAS**, although the total contract amount does not exceed the Non-Fair and Open Process of the Pay-to-Play contract value of \$17,500.00, the Township shall request **Louis Berger**, pursuant to Township policy, to complete the Township’s three (3) Pay-to-Play Disclosure Forms and Certifications; and

**WHEREAS**, the Local Public Contracts Law at N.J.S.A. 40A:11-5.(1)(a)(i) et seq. requires that the resolution authorizing the award of contracts for “Professional Services” without competitive bids, and the contract itself must be available for public inspection.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Township Committee of the Township of Hanover in the County of Morris and State of New Jersey as follows:

- 1. That **Richard Barrington, P.E.**, a professional engineer licensed by the State of New Jersey and **The Louis Berger Group, Inc.** with offices located at 412 Mount Kemble Avenue in Morristown, New Jersey 07962 are hereby retained for the purpose of preparing a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment of property related to litigation with River Park Business Center, LLC.
- 2. The Phase I Environmental Site Assessment shall be performed in accordance with the scope of work outlined in **Mr. Barrington’s** November 15, 2016 Letter Proposal, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part of this resolution as if set forth in full.
- 3. The total contract amount for the preparation of the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment shall not exceed the total lump sum amount of \$17,000.00. The approval of the Professional Services Agreement is contingent upon the certification of the Township’s Chief Municipal Finance Officer that sufficient funds have been received and deposited in a Trust Escrow Account.
- 4. The Business Administrator/Township Clerk, in his capacity as the Township’s Qualified Purchasing Agent, is authorized and directed to execute the Professional Services Agreement with **The Louis Berger Group, Inc.**
- 5. This appointment is awarded without competitive bidding as a “Professional Service” under the provision of the Local Public Contracts Law at N.J.S.A. 40A:11-5.(1)(a)(i) because the services to be performed are by a person authorized by law to practice a recognized profession as a Professional Engineer licensed by the State of New Jersey and such services are not subject to competitive bid.
- 6. That a brief notice of this award shall be published in the Daily Record as required by law within ten (10) days of its passage.

**Motion made to approve all resolutions made by Member Ferramosca and seconded by Member Coppola and unanimously approved.**

-----

December 8, 2016

**PAYMENT OF BILLS:**

The governing body approved a grand total disbursement of **\$5,080,950.72** for the payment of all bills as of this Regular Township Committee Meeting. A copy of the “Bills Payment List – by Vendor” is hereby approved and made a part of this resolution as if set forth in full. Moved by Member Brueno and seconded by Member Ferramosca and unanimously passed.

A copy of the Bill Payment List – by Vendor has been incorporated in the Supplemental Minute Book – Payment of Bills which is on file in the Township Clerk’s office.

-----  
**RAFFLES:**

- RL – 2979 – Morris Catholic High School – Tricky Tray**
- RL – 2980 – Morris Catholic High School– On premise 50/50**
- RL - 2981 – St. John Baptist Ukrainian Cath. Church – Off premise raffle**
- RL – 2982 – Assumption College for Sisters – Off premise 50/50**
- RL- 2983 - Rotary Club of Morristown – Tricky Tray**
- RL – 2984 – Rotary Club of Morristown - 50/50 On premise**

Motion made by Member Coppola to accept and approve and seconded by Member Ferramosca and unanimously passed.

-----  
**OPEN TO THE PUBLIC**

Motion to open to the public made by Member Ferramosca and seconded by Member Brueno.

Tom Weiss, 46 Countrywood Drive: Not really sure if this is the correct place but I’m going to ask the question. I worked the polls at Mountview Road School in November, the polls opened at 6:00am and there was no lighting from the driveway to behind the school to get to the doors, and it’s very dangerous especially for senior citizens. I was curious whether you can intervene with the Board of Education if they have control over that.

Mayor: Specifically on the road, or the trail?

Mr. Weiss: No the walk trail.

Mr. Brueno: Back in the old days, they used to use the main entrance, but for safety and to keep everyone contained to the gym, I know exactly where he means, that’s where I vote, I think we can bring in some temporary lighting it’s a good point.

Mr. Weiss: And also for late afternoon after the sun goes down it’s the same way. Although, they did allow some people to walk out the front door to exit but they weren’t allowed to come in that way in the morning and I saw some of the senior that were really struggling back there.

Mr. Giorgio: I will contact the Board Business Administrator and bring the possible dangerous situation to her attention. I don’t know if those lights are on timers, they probably are, but maybe.

Mr. Brueno: I don’t know if they even have them back there Joe all the lighting is in the front of the school. But the DPW owns a generator with lighting and at a minimum we can bring that over there. It wouldn’t cost us anything.

Mr. Ferramosca: That’s a good suggestion.

Mayor: That’s a long trek back there.

Mr. Weiss: I had trouble getting in there to set up ~ I was there at 5:15.

Mr. Ferramosca: Thank you for bringing it to our attention.

Carol Fromchenko, Whippany: I work at the High School on Election Day, Election Day always takes place in November right after we change the clocks, so right there is part of your problem and the lights are on timers and because it’s a just a

December 8, 2016

couple of days difference between the time change and Election Day we always have that problem in November we have it at the High School where the people are walking in, it was a little bit better this year but there were years where there was actually no lighting by the entrance and it's because of the timers.

The second thing is that I want to thank you all very much for the resolution that you passed tonight, and I also want to thank you for all of your efforts your concerns, your consideration with all the issues with Quick Chek and I'm confident that the signs will be a great help.

Mayor: For the Public Record, we will continue to monitor the matter of QuickChek where the traffic circulation is concerned and this particular test that is going on now for the 24-7 service area, so we will meet again.

Motion to close made by Member Brueno and seconded by Member Gallagher and unanimously passed.

-----  
**OTHER BUSINESS**

Mr. Gallagher: Tomorrow night we are having a pilot program at Whippany Park High School from 6-7:30 its going to be a situational awareness and self-defense program put on by Hanover Township PD and Substance Awareness Council, Morris Area Coalition For Education and Positive Choices and American Martial Arts, there is a group of 50 girls that discussed specific concerns and fears and situations that make them unconformable. We discussed this for a while but now we are doing it and I just want to say that I appreciate all the people that are working hard, we asked these specific students to give us 6-10 specific situations that make them scared or uncomfortable so with our PD and with American Martial Arts we have specific answers and guidelines on to what to do in those situation. Chief Roddy is going to take the floor and talk about trends and behaviors that are out there now and what to be careful of and things as simple as recognizing and pay attention to your own intuitions. This is starting in Hanover Township we have a lot of towns involved in our coalition who are very interested and it's going to be tomorrow night at Whippany Park High School, we didn't do a press release on it because we didn't want to turn into an assemble where people were coming in and walking in a hallways it was an entertainment event more than an instructional. We are not looking for a large forum, a specific group. Word is that people from the Battered Woman's Shelter are coming to because they like the idea of this and I will weigh in at the next meeting on how it went and we will also be sharing the specific guidelines when we do in to other Towns and do something like this.

Mr. Ferramosca: Happy to report that connectivity paving portion of Phase 1A is now complete along South Jefferson Road there was a bit of a delay due to water/fire hydrant issue but we did overcome that and we look forward in the Spring completing the job in terms of striping and working with Recreation Department which is very supportive of this initiative to do a formal ribbon cutting ceremony. In addition to that I just want to highlight some Green Team activities; the Green Team for 2016 their formal report was amazing, the accomplishments. Hanover Township achieved Bronze Level Sustainability, 340 points were scored by the team, there are roughly 565 Municipalities in the State and we were top 5 within Morris County. Some of these were completing municipal communication strategies, commercial/institutional recycling programs, participating in improvements for bulk, ridge and plastic picks, rx disposal program, inventory analysis, using recycled paper, the community paper shred day, an addition the Green Team worked very closely with Employment Horizons. During the League of Municipalizes session in November the Green Team and Hanover Township was honored with a Sustainable New Jersey award and please hold up the Green Team's emblem which is their green shirts and we encourage all of those who would like to participate in the Green Team to come out and join us. It's a great group, it's voluntary it meets the first Monday of each month over at the Library. Please come out and participate.

Mr. Coppola: The Senior had their nominations this past Wednesday, other than filling a vacant Vice Presidency it's pretty much same as they had last year. Last

December 8, 2016

Wednesday night I met with the Landmark Commission Members, our Chairman Mike Chznecki, Lenny Ferriello and our Conservator we went over to Morris County to meet with the Morris County Historic Preservation to present our case for a winning a grant hopefully this coming year which we didn't get the past year, especially since we tried to emphasize the fact that we followed all of what was required and for some reason they felt there were something's missing, but I guess my primary concern is hey we pay into that grant and some of the organization or the religious facilities that received it considerably more than what we received were requesting received the grants. So we are going back to them again and having another meeting February 4<sup>th</sup> and they are going to tell us what it is that supposedly they felt we didn't quite meet the criteria of, which we didn't understand because we attended the last two February meetings to get an understanding and we did a lot of cooperation working with the people that were involved, so we are going to see where we go from there.

We just talked about Pearl Harbor and talked about the Veteran's this is a tough time of the year for Veteran's I would like to emphasis this I mentioned this at our Knights of Columbus meeting, Veterans who are away from home especially during this time of year and the last quarter of the year a lot of time they miss Thanksgiving, they miss Christmas and they listen to these songs "I'll be home for Christmas" and stuff like that really does impact them, I don't think I have to mention that to Ed because I know I always had the strong feelings about it, so kind of keep them in our thoughts and keep them in our prayers keep them safe and everything, This is a tough time of the year when we talk about Veterans and for the service that they give to the community as we talk about Pearl Harbor and all of that, it's a tough time, they are away from home and they really have that in their minds.

Lastly, we got our Police Department up to par and they really appreciate that, and I really thank the Committee again for their full support and also I don't miss a point when I meet with the Chief or any of the Police Officers one thing I leave is "please be careful be safe out there" there is a war on police right now and we see what happened most recently with three officers who were shot, we don't know why, it's just a case that it's just sad. Just have to be very careful out there and if there is anything you can do if you see them, we say thanks to a Vet which I appreciate, thanks to a police officer, they go out of their way, they are the first line of our protection so let's also when you see a police officer it can't hurt to say thank you for being there to protect us.

Member Brueno: A few things that are seasonal from recreation, first of all Santa's mailbox is out in front of the recreation center taking any and all Christmas list requests from the 1<sup>st</sup> through the 16<sup>th</sup> and the reason we have to cut it off on the 16<sup>th</sup> is that it takes time to get all of those requests to the North Pole but most importantly each and every request will be responded too. So if you have a child or a grandchild that wants to get a request directly to Santa and hear a response from Santa. Also this Saturday 10<sup>th</sup> from 2-4 Santa Claus will be coming to Town at the Recreation Center, there will be snacks, games, crafts so come on over. Then on Friday the 16<sup>th</sup> from 5:30-8:00 there will be a gingerbread house and pajama party, so lots of good stuff going on for the season and I want to commend by fellow Committeeman Mr. Gallagher for putting together a nice program for tomorrow evening as well. So we have a lot going on for the youth of Hanover.

Mr. Francioli: Where do I put my complaint letter to Santa, I never got my Maserati last year!! (LAUGHTER)

Mr. Ferramosca: It's backordered.

Mr. Francioli: That's great, I just want to make a quick comment, I want to comment something about EverBridge and we got EverBridge set up as our communication system that is in place, for some of you that are not aware of it, if you go onto our website [www.HanoverTownship.com](http://www.HanoverTownship.com) follow the EverBridge link and it will tell you all about what the service it, it's a way of us communicating with you on all different aspects of emergencies and nonemergency situations and there is an opportunity for you to choose the type of information you want from the Township. We will give you information on road closing, information on emergency situations. I highly recommend that you do sign up for it if you have not yet, do that, but I do ask you to take your time to look at the categories of information that you want, if you don't want to be disturbed by nonemergency information then do not check that category, on road etc., but if you do check certain categories, your cell phones will be notified, you will get text messages

December 8, 2016

and your home phone will be notified, so again please review EverBridge but review it from the standpoint of the information that you want so you are not inconvenienced by it as well.

We want to say a welcome to Carlos Perez from Morris County which is going to now be our Township's Official Health Officer, the Township has engaged Morris County's Health Officer System and Mr. Perez will now officially be our Health Officer. The efficiencies in that changeover are going to be well noted in the budget this year, there is a considerable savings in this move with this cooperative effort of shared services with the County, so I just wanted to say that as well.

-----  
**ADJOURNMENT:**

Motion made to close at 10:02 was by Member Ferramosca and seconded by Member Brueno and unanimously passed.

TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE  
TOWNSHIP OF HANOVER  
COUNTY OF MORRIS  
STATE OF NEW JERSEY

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph A. Giorgio, Township Clerk